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Abstract

Objective: To find the prevalence of malignant pathology in women with postmenopausal bleeding (PMB).
Methods: An observational cross section study was conducted in the Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology at Peoples Medical College and Hospital Nawabshah from 1st January 2006 to 31st December 2006. All patients with a typical history of post-menopausal bleeding were evaluated under anaesthesia and diagnostic dilatation and curettage was done for histopathological assessment of endometrial lining. Cervical biopsy was taken in selected patients.
Results: Total 50 patients were included. Benign lesion was found in 24 (48%) cases, followed by malignant pathology in 15 (30%), premalignant lesion was responsible for PMB in 7 (14%) cases, while pathology remained undetermined in 4 (8%) patients.
Conclusion: Malignancy has an important role in the etiology of PMB which needs a careful evaluation. This study showed a high prevalence of malignant disorders (30%) with carcinoma of cervix and endometrium having an equal contribution. Multiparity was the most significant factor for carcinoma of endometrium (JPMA 60:540; 2010).

Introduction

Postmenopausal bleeding (PMB) is defined as any bleeding that occurs from the genital tract more than 12 months after the last menstrual period in a woman who is not receiving Hormone Replacement Therapy (HRT). It is a frequent and alarming sign and exclusion of genital tract malignancy, especially endometrial carcinoma is the primary aim of investigation. Approximately one in 10 women experiences this problem. Usually, this occurs in early years of menopause and is less frequent after 3 or more years of menopause. Increasing time interval between menopause and onset of postmenopausal bleeding is highly indicative of malignancy. In developed countries more than 60 % cases are due to benign lesions like atrophic vaginitis, uterine or cervical polyp, endometrial hyperplasia and atrophic endometritis. The situation is different in Pakistan and multiple studies conducted in different institution of the country showed a high prevalence of malignancy in patients of postmenopausal bleeding. Most probably it reflects the non availability of screening programmes, poverty, lack of education and ignorance regarding women's health.

The average age of menopause is 51 years. Any woman who is still menstruating after 55 years should be viewed with suspicion and postmenopausal blood stained discharge has an equal significance to that of PMB. Any woman with postmenopausal bleeding must be evaluated for endometrial carcinoma. The assessment includes evaluation...
of risk factors like obesity, parity, family history of endometrial/breast carcinoma, personal history of breast/ovarian carcinoma, and drug history as HRT, tamoxifen and anticoagulants. A thorough physical examination followed by investigations as cervical cytology, assessment of endometrial thickness by transvaginal ultrasound (TVS) and endometrial histopathology. Hysteroscopically guided endometrial biopsy is the gold standard investigation, but due to limited facilities, Dilatation and Curretage (D & C) is the main procedure for evaluation of such cases in our setup.

This study was designed and conducted to find out the aetiological factors for PMB with histopathological aid.

**Patient and Methods**

This observational cross-sectional study was conducted from 1st January 2006 to 31st December 2006 at PMCH Nawabshah. A total of 50 patients with typical history of PMB attending the Gynaecology OPD of PMCH Nawabshah with postmenopausal bleeding (PMB) / Blood stained vaginal discharge, were enrolled. PMB is defined as any bleeding that occurs from the genital tract more than 12 months after the last menstrual period in a woman who is not receiving HRT.1,2 Patients who had received HRT were excluded from the study. The study was approved by the Ethical committee of PMCH Nawabshah.

All the patients were properly counseled and written informed consent was obtained for the study. A prompt clinical evaluation was done, which included a problem oriented history and examination. History included age, parity, age of menarche, years since menopause; details of bleeding like mode of onset, amount, number of episodes, postcoital bleeding and any abnormal discharge. Family and personal history of genital tract malignancies was asked. Documentation of associated clinical factors like hypertension, diabetes, use of drugs as HRT, tamoxifen and anticoagulants were recorded. Complete physical examination with special attention to lymph nodes enlargement, abdominal, examination per speculum examination along with Pap smear and digital vaginal examination were carried out.

All the patients were subjected to basic haematological investigation, urine analysis and pelvic ultrasound. Examination under anaesthesia (EUA) along with D & C was carried out; cervical biopsies were taken where indicated. All the tissues obtained were analysed histopathologically to correlate with the clinical diagnosis.

Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS version 10.0 for windows. Data were expressed as mean ± SD. Frequency and percentage were computed for continuous data like age, duration of PMB and Parity. A chi-square test was applied to compare age groups with malignancy and parity. P-value < 0.05 was considered as statistically significant.

**Results**

A total of 50 women with typical history of PMB were included in this study. The mean age was 59.82 ± 7.82 years (95% CI, 57.59 - 62.04). The minimum age was 47 years and maximum was 78 years. The mean parity was 4.26 ± 4.00 (95% CI, 3.34-5.17). The majority of patients i.e. 16 (48 %) had a parity of 7 - 9, 09 (18 %) were nulliparous and 12 (24 %) patients had parity 1-3.

Malignant changes on histopathology were found in 15 (30%) patients with PMB, 07 (14%) had Ca Cervix and 08 (16%) were diagnosed as Ca Endometrium. On comparison with age groups, 05 (10%) patients were diagnosed malignant with dominance of Ca Cervix. On the other hand, 05 (10%) patients were found malignant in 61 - 70 years age group with dominance of Ca Endometrium which was not statistically significant (Table-1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>CA Cervix n (%)</th>
<th>CA Endometrium n (%)</th>
<th>Benign n (%)</th>
<th>Total n (%)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age Group</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45 - 50 Years</td>
<td>1(2%)</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>4(8%)</td>
<td>5(10%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51 - 60 Years</td>
<td>5(10%)</td>
<td>2(4%)</td>
<td>15(30%)</td>
<td>22(44%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61 - 70 Years</td>
<td>1(2%)</td>
<td>5(10%)</td>
<td>12(24%)</td>
<td>18(36%)</td>
<td>0.402</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 70 Years</td>
<td>7(14%)</td>
<td>8(16%)</td>
<td>35(70%)</td>
<td>50(100%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Parity</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>6(12 %)</td>
<td>3(6%)</td>
<td>9(18%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 - 3</td>
<td>1(2 %)</td>
<td>2(4 %)</td>
<td>9(18%)</td>
<td>12(24%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 - 6</td>
<td>1(4 %)</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>10(20%)</td>
<td>12(32%)</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 - 9</td>
<td>4(8%)</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>12(24%)</td>
<td>16(32%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 9</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>1(2%)</td>
<td>1(2%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table-1: Comparison of age group and parity with invasive carcinoma of cervix (06) and endometrium (08).
The situation is not satisfactory in Pakistan and malignancy of the genital tract is the existing pathology in a large number of cases. Pamela et al from India showed a prevalence of 63.6%,16 Wonderossen Ergette from Ethiopia shows a high prevalence of malignant disorder (30%).8 Liaquat et al 53.7%,8 Asif et al 44%7 and Ghazi et al 20%.18 The frequency found in our study (30%) occupies a middle position when compared with local studies.

Evaluation of PMB needs an exclusion of corpus cancer which is the fourth common cancer in women and most common gynaecological malignancy in USA.10 This situation reflects a long life span of women more than 70 years, required for development of endometrial carcinoma.

Carcinoma of cervix is the seventh most common carcinoma in USA which reflects the provision of a well organized screening programme in these countries. Worldwide it continues to be the number one cancer affecting women, with approximately 500,000 cases occurring annually.19

In the present study, endometrial carcinoma accounted for 16 % cases of PMB which is almost consistent with the reported incidence of Siyal and coworkers (14.89%).20 Carcinoma of cervix was responsible for 12% cases of PMB, while it is reported to be 8.8% by Ghazi et al,17 25.5% by Asif et al7 and 39.6 % by Liaquat et al.8

In the present study, the peak of invasive endometrial carcinoma was found at 60-70 years and that for carcinoma of cervix was 50-60 years. There is a strong correlation of carcinoma of endometrium with nulliparity and low parity. Endometrial hyperplasia, a precursor of endometrial carcinoma was found in 14% patients.

Benign lesions as a cause of PMB were found in 24 (48%) cases, consistent with the reported incidence of Ghazi et al18 and much lower than the reported incidence in the developed world.3,4,14 The most common lesions were atrophic endometrium (14%), polyp (12%), endometrial hyperplasia (12%) and senile vaginitis (8%). In the present study pathology remained undetermined in 8 % of cases, which is between the reported incidence quoted by Lidor A et al22 (10%), Asif et al7 (5.5%) and Liaquat et al8 (4.6%).

## Conclusion

Abnormal PMB accounts for a significant proportion of gynaecological referrals. Excluding endometrial carcinoma is the primary aim of investigation. This study shows a high prevalence of malignant disorder (30%). Carcinoma of cervix and carcinoma of endometrium have almost equal contribution. Nulliparity is the significant risk factor with carcinoma of endometrium. Considering the above data, all patients with PMB need careful evaluation.
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Table-2: Frequency and malignant pathology in relation to time of onset after menopause.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Onset of PMB after Menopause</th>
<th>Malignant Cases</th>
<th>Benign</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 - 5 Years</td>
<td>2(4%)</td>
<td>15(30%)</td>
<td>17(34%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 - 10 Years</td>
<td>5(10%)</td>
<td>16(32%)</td>
<td>21(42%)</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 10 Years</td>
<td>8(16%)</td>
<td>12(24%)</td>
<td>20(40%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It was also incidentally noted that 06 (12%) patients in nulliparity group had only Ca Endometrium and cervical cancer was more common in grand multiparious (8%). This was statistically significant (p = 0.002) (Table-1).

The incidence of malignancy increased with increase in period between menopause and onset of PMB. In 08 (16%) patients, malignancy was present when bleeding started > 10 years after menopause (p = 0.005) (Table-2).

Benign pathology was encountered in 24 (48 %) cases; with benign atrophic endometrium in 07 (14 %), polyp in 06 (12%), endometrial hyperplasia in 06 (12%), senile vaginitis in 04 (8%) and one case of postcoital tear.

Premalignant lesions like adenomatous and atypical hyperplasia were seen in 07 (14%) patients, while pathology remained undetermined in 04 (8%) cases. The malignant cases included endometrial carcinomas in 8 (16%) cases and squamous cell carcinoma in 7 (14%) patients. Cervical biopsy was taken in 06 patients with clinical suspicion of malignancy, which was confirmed histopathologically. Most patients presented with multiple clinical features with common association of anaemia, hypertension, diabetes mellitus, joint pain and hot flushes.

## Discussion

The famous dictum that "Postmenopausal bleeding must be considered as indicative of malignant disease until proven otherwise," still holds true in our circumstances. The general consensus regarding management of PMB is that all patients must be excluded of cancer by oriented biopsy.

In this series of 50 cases, malignancy was found in 15 (30%) cases, premalignant disorders in 7 (14%), benign pathology 24 (48%) and pathology remained undetermined in 4 (8%) cases. The earlier studies conducted in different parts of the world showed a prevalence of malignancy in PMB around 35%,12,13 while the more recent prevalence is quoted to be around 9.9%3,4,14 -11%.15 This drop in prevalence of malignancy reflects the awareness of women and availability of screening facilities.

The situation is not satisfactory in Pakistan and malignancy of the genital tract is the existing pathology in a large number of cases. Pamela et al from India showed a prevalence of 63.6%,16 Wonderossen Ergette from Ethiopia 60.8%,17 Liaquat et al 53.7%,8 Asif et al 44%7 and Ghazi et al 20%.18 The frequency found in our study (30%) occupies a middle position when compared with local studies.

Evaluation of PMB needs an exclusion of corpus cancer which is the fourth common cancer in women and most common gynaecological malignancy in USA.10 This situation reflects a long life span of women more than 70 years, required for development of endometrial carcinoma.