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Objective: To develop a competency based discriminatory assessment method for physiology practical examination

Method: Results from 1st professional M.B.B.S. Part I and II of three batches were taken and students performance in traditional and objective structured practical examination (OSPE) were compared. The course objective for practical examination of all three batches were same. However, Batch II appeared in the conventional examination, while Batches III and IV were examined by OSPE.

Results: The mean score of Batch II was 68 ± 6, of Batch III 53 ± 13 and Batch IV 50 ± 16. Batch II had thus an overall higher score as compared to Batches III and IV. The comparison of mean scores using ANOVA showed a significant (P<.001) difference between scores of Batch II as compared to Batches III and IV. Tukey’s pair wise comparison of the batches showed a significant difference between batches II and III (95% CI for difference: 9.1, 20.5 with P <0.001) and batches II and IV (95% CI for difference: 12.2, 23.6 with P<0.001). However, no significant difference was found between batches III and IV (95% CI for difference: -2.6, 8.8 with P =0.27). The result also showed that Batch II with conventional method of examination had a lesser spread around the mean (scores ranging from 52 to 81) as compared to Batch III (25 to 80) and Batch IV (14 to 90).

Conclusion: OSPE is an effective tool to discriminate between good and poor performers in physiology practical examinations (JPMA 51:207:2001).

Introduction

Ziauddin Medical University (ZMU) is now in its fifth year of existence. Initially for the first two years, we followed the traditional assessment method in vogue at the different medical schools in Pakistan for Physiology practical examinations. This system entails, asking the candidate to select a sealed folder and perform the two experiments listed in that folder thus it starts with a chance factor. It also incorporates subjectively, as the student is evaluated two hours later on the basis of few orally asked questions, some of which may even not be relevant to the experiments he has performed. Incorporation of chance factors and subjectively associated with this form of examination, lead to the need for a reliable method of assessment at ZMU. The faculty after long deliberations decided to replace it with an Objective Structure Practical examination (OSPE). This is a version of the Objective Structured Clinical Examination (OSCE) which has been in use in clinical teaching since 1971 and has been found to be a reliable and valid assessment tool to test competency of students in clinical practice. OSPE is a specified set of task that every candidate is expected to perform in the presence of examiners.

Methods

As the students during practicals learn a variety of skills and also interpret the data obtained, a list of observable performance related and interpretive exercises in physiology were prepared. A checklist was prepared for observable performance related exercise after faculty debates for each of the exercise students perform in the laboratory. A prototype of a checklist used for examination of the 1St cranial...
nerve (Olfactory) is given in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. No</th>
<th>General Protocol</th>
<th>Roll No.</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Greets the patient.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Explains the procedure.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Seeks permission to perform the procedure.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Asks the patient if he/she is comfortable.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Examination of the Nerve</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Asks the patient to compress one side of the nose and sniff through the other and vice-versa to check if each nasal passage is open.*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Asks the patient to close his/her eyes.*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Asks the patient to occlude one nostril and test one by one the smell of the given substances in the other.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Asks the patient to recognize and tell the smell of given substances</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Repeats the procedure in other nostril.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Each item of the checklists starts with an action verb, indicating that each is an observable behavior. The checklists are dichotomous with each performance element being either marked as performed satisfactorily or unsatisfactorily. Some critical points were then identified in each of the checklists and assigned penalty points. If a student failed to perform the critical point or performed it unsatisfactorily, a penalty point was deducted from his total score.

For interpretive type of examination, again the faculty prepared a list of objectives from the course objectives for physiology, which could be tested by interpretation. The cognitive domain of students was tested by providing them with a scenario, data diagram or slide and candidates asked to answer multiple true false or matching types of questions. A prototype of an interpretive station used in one of the examinations is given is Figure 1.
Statistical analysis was done using Minitab version 4, a software programme. The mean scores were compared using ANOVA and Tukey’s pair wise comparison tests.

Results
In academic year 1996 (Batch-II), 54 students appeared in the 1st Professional MBBS examination and were examined by the traditional method. In academic years 1997 (Batch-III) and 1998 (Batch-IV), 56 and 54 students appeared respectively who were tested by OSPE.

Table 2 shows the mean marks + standard deviations of the three batches. The mean marks of Batch-II
students are significantly higher than mean marks of Batch-III and Batch-IV. A box plot of scores obtained by the students of all three batches is shown in Figure 2.

The box representing middle 50% of the students of Batch-III and IV have a much wider distribution than those of Batch-II. The minimum marks obtained by students of batch-III are significantly higher when compared with those of the other two batches.

**Discussion**

Dismissal for incompetent performance during medical education is rare\(^4\). Also, in training evaluations although widely used are unable to differentiate clearly among different dimensions of competence\(^5\) or to distinguish clearly and reliably between different levels of performance, particularly at or around a standard acceptable performance\(^6\). The shortcomings of oral examinations and other highly prevalent assessment approaches have also been thoroughly documented\(^7\). It was in light of this available evidence that we started OSPE which seems to be a reliable method that splits students into a large number of groups in terms of traditional method of examination. After examining two batches with the traditional system, the pattern of examination was changed to OSPE and the results showed that the
mean score of batches examined by traditional method by OSPE. There was however no obtained in physiology practical.

significant difference between scores of batches examined by the same method. This suggests that OSPE splits the students into a large number of groups in terms of measured competence or discriminates between different levels of competence better than the traditional method of examination.

The test can be used to incorporate a large number of questions and skills from a wide variety of physiological phenomenon and the students tested from a much wider sphere of cognitive competency skills compared to the traditional method of examination. It can also be designed to incorporate questions and skills according to a predetermined weight age for testing different faculties.

In the traditional method a number of chance factors are incorporated, whereas in OSPE as the whole examination is structured, each student has an equal chance.

OSPE has been highly appreciated by the examiners both local as well as foreign (invited from UK) as an effective tool to discriminate between good and poor performers in physiology practical examinations. OSPE appears to be a reliable measurement tool to discriminate between good and poor performance in physiology practical examination.
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