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Introduction

Endometrial ossification is a rare condition. The presence of bone in the uterus is explained on the basis of several debatable hypotheses. We report three such cases with different clinical presentations suggesting varied pathogenesis for this uncommon finding.

Case Report

Case 1
A 38 years old multiparous lady presented with an eight month history of polymenorrhagia. The patient gave history of abortion at 8 weeks gestation, an year back. Despite conventional hormone therapy the patient’s complaints persisted. A hysterectomy with right sided salpingoophorectomy was performed. Gms examination of the specimen showed a normal sized uterus. The uterine cavity was found to be full of tiny fragments of bone adherent to and lining the endometrial cavity. The cervix was found to be normal. The ovary and tube were also unremarkable. Histological examination showed fragments of mature bone in the endometrium. The glands were in the proliferative phase and lymphocytic infiltration of the stroma and glands was seen. The cervix, ovary and tube were normal.

Case 2
A 20 year old lady presented with unexplained primary infertility of 5 years duration. No abnormality was detected on physical or pelvic examination. A diagnostic curettage was performed. Histology showed late secretory endometrium with fragments of mature bone in the stroma. No evidence of chronic endometritis was seen (Figure).
Case 3
A 22-year old lady presented with a history of primary infertility for the last five years. No abnormality was detected on physical examination. Ultrasound showed the presence of bone in the uterine cavity. A diagnostic curettage was done. On histology, a proliferative phase endometrium was seen along with extensive calcification and ossification of the stroma. The stroma and glands were also densely infiltrated by polymorphs, lymphocytes and plasma cells. A diagnosis of non-specific endometritis with osseous metaplasia of endometrium was made in this case.

Discussion
Endometrial ossification is an uncommon finding and only a limited number of cases have been documented in the literature\(^1\). Several suggestions regarding the pathogenesis of this lesion have been made. Chronic endometritis accompanied by osseous metaplasia is considered to be one mechanism\(^2\)\(^,\)\(^,\)\(^4\)\(^,\)\(^5\). Dystrophic calcification and ossification of retained fetal tissue after second - trimester abortion has also been described\(^6\). Another hypothesis is that heteroplasia occurs in multipotential stromal cells, probably of Mullerian origin, that lie silently in the uterus in the myo-endometrial zone\(^3\)\(^,\)\(^6\)\(^,\)\(^7\). The bony fragments in our first case were visible both macroscopically as well as microscopically. A past history of abortion followed by polymenorrhagia is strongly suggestive of
ossification of retained fetal tissue. Since the termination of pregnancy occurred at 8 weeks gestation, the bony fragments cannot be of fetal origin, as suggested by some observers. These bony spicules were found only in the superficial layers of the endometrium and had a well differentiated structure. The bony fragments act as a foreign body in the uterus and may lead to menorrhagia, pelvic pain and vaginal discharge. We believe that our second case presenting with primary infertility is due to osseous metaplasia of multipotent stem cells, since no evidence of chronic endometritis was found in the curettings. The bony fragments may lead to infertility either by altering the milieu of the uterine cavity and thereby increasing prostaglandin production or if large and superficial enough, by acting as an intra-uterine contraceptive device and prevent implantation. Our third case showed severe chronic endometritis with osseous metaplasia. Here the bone comprised anastomosing trabeculae of well formed bone along with extensive calcification. Whether this was secondary to chronic endometritis or whether chronic infection was a secondary event to the presence of bone in the uterus could not be ascertained. While investigating patients of post-abortion menorrhagia or primary infertility, the possibility of endometrial ossification should always be kept in mind. The role of pelvic ultrasonography, in this regard, cannot be over-stressed. Hysteroscopic removal of bony fragments may relieve symptoms in menorrhagia and may restore fertility.
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