Comparison of diagnostic accuracy of touch imprint cytology and frozen section techniques in detecting breast malignancies

Safina Ahmed, Mumtaz Ahmad

Abstract

Objective: To compare the diagnostic accuracy of touch imprint cytology and frozen section in the diagnosis of malignant breast lumps keeping histopathological diagnosis as the gold standard.

Methods: The cross-sectional validation study was conducted at Foundation University Medical College, Islamabad, from February 2011 to February 2012, and comprised patients undergoing mastectomy/lumpectomy. The specimens were collected from the operation theatre of Fauji Foundation Hospital, Rawalpindi. After tissue fixation, three representative sections were processed and the slides were stained with haematoxylin and eosin stain. The person analysing touch imprint cytology slides was blinded to the frozen section and histopathological slide results and vice-versa. Data was analysed using SPSS 17.

Results: Out of 76 patients with a mean age of 49±15.14 years, 61 (80%) were diagnosed with malignant breast diseases. The sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value, negative predictive value and true positives of touch imprint cytology were 96.72%, 100%, 100%, 88.24% and 96.72% respectively. The corresponding values of the frozen section were 100% each. The diagnostic accuracy calculated for touch imprints and frozen section was 97.37% and 100% respectively.

Conclusion: The diagnostic accuracy of frozen section was better than touch imprint cytology in rapid intra-operative diagnosis. However, touch imprints can safely be used as an alternative intra-operative procedure to ensure satisfactory results.
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Introduction

Breast cancer is a worldwide oncological health problem and the leading cause of women mortality and morbidity.1 Statistics indicate that breast cancer is accountable for one-third of all female cancers.2

According to Surveillance Epidemiology and End Results (SEER) data, 178,480 women were diagnosed with invasive breast cancer, 62,030 with carcinoma in situ, and over 40,000 women died of the disease in 2007 in the United States.3,4 Pakistan tops the Asian countries in terms of prevalence of breast cancer which is 34.6% of all cancer cases among females.5

Two pathological diagnostic approaches are used for breast lesions. Pre-operative diagnostic techniques include fine needle aspiration cytology (FNAC), core-needle biopsy (CNB), and excisional biopsy.6 Intra-operative diagnostic techniques include frozen-section, touch imprint cytology and intra-operative FNAC.7

Intra-operative diagnostic modalities are important in making immediate decisions for the optimal extent of surgery.8 These techniques are also used to assess the status of surgical margins. To minimise the extensive axillary dissection, sentinel lymph node metastases is judged by these techniques.

Although it is established that frozen section is the most reliable intra-operative diagnostic method with the sensitivity, specificity and accuracy of 100%, but the technique is expensive. It requires technical expertise and expensive equipment and is not available in many hospitals, particularly in rural health centres.1,9

Touch imprint cytology is considered a good alternative method with a sensitivity of 92.99%, a specificity of 93.33% and an accuracy of 92.5%.1 It is useful especially in hospitals with limited technical and financial services. Thus, it is a reliable, rapid, relatively safe and cost-effective technique which requires less financial, human and technical facilities.10

The current study was planned to evaluate the diagnostic accuracy of touch imprint cytology and compared it with the frozen-section technique.

Materials and Methods

The cross-sectional validation study was conducted at
Foundation University Medical College, Islamabad, from February 2011 to February 2012, and comprised patients undergoing mastectomy/lumpectomy. After approval from the institutional ethics committee, mastectomy/lumpectomy specimens were collected from the operation theatre of Fauji Foundation Hospital, Rawalpindi. Every specimen was serially sliced and the lesion was identified. The most representative part of the lesion was used. Touch imprint cytology slides were made and stained with haemacolour.

For frozen section, the selected tissue piece was embedded in optimal cutting temperature (OCT) mounting medium and placed in cryostat. The slides were stained with haematoxylin and eosin (H&E) stain. The results of frozen section were conveyed to the surgeon.

After tissue fixation, three representative sections were taken. These were processed and the slides were stained with H&E. The stained sections of breast tissue were examined simultaneously by the two researchers; one of them being a consultant histopathologist.

Selection bias was controlled by selecting a population that met the inclusion and exclusion criteria. All female patients undergoing breast lumpectomies were included in the study. Already diagnosed cases of breast carcinoma and those patients who were undergoing radiotherapy or chemotherapy were not included in the study. The person who reported touch imprint cytology slides was blinded to the frozen section and histopathological slide results and vice-versa. The results of histopathology slides were taken as the gold standard.

Data was analysed using SPSS 17.

**Results**

Out of 76 patients with a mean age of 49±15.14 years (range: 26-87 years), 61(80%) were diagnosed with malignant breast disease and 15(20%) had benign breast disease.

Among the 61 patients with malignant breast lesions, 53(86%) were diagnosed with invasive ductal carcinoma, 5(8%) invasive lobular carcinoma, 1(2%) patient each for medullary, metaplastic carcinoma and invasive cribriform carcinoma. The range of tumour size in malignant breast cases was recorded (Figure-1).

Among 15 cases of benign breast lesions, 7(46%) patients had fibroadenoma, 5(33%) had fibrocystic changes and 1(7%) case each had fat necrosis, duct ectasia and benign phylloides tumour.

Out of 61 malignant cases, 59(96%) were diagnosed correctly on touch imprint cytology, while 2(4%) were given false negative results. The histology of the false negative cases turned out to be invasive lobular

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of Study</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
<th>Specificity</th>
<th>Positive Predictive value</th>
<th>Negative Predictive Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sultana N et al (^7)</td>
<td>99.6%</td>
<td>93%</td>
<td>96.6%</td>
<td>99.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bianchi S et al (^7)</td>
<td>91.7%</td>
<td>99.2%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bellolio JE et al (^9)</td>
<td>99.3%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current Study</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
carcinoma (Figure-2) and invasive ductal carcinoma on paraffin section (Figure-3). No false positive diagnosis was made on touch imprints. So its specificity and positive predictive value (PPV) turned out to be 100%. However, the sensitivity rate was 96.72% and negative predictive value (NPV) was 88.24%. Hence, the diagnostic accuracy of touch imprint cytology was 97.37%.

All the 61 (80%) malignant cases as well as 15 (20%) benign cases were diagnosed correctly on frozen section. No false positive or false negative cases were recorded. Therefore, the sensitivity, specificity, PPV, NPV and diagnostic accuracy turned out to be 100%.

**Discussion**

Frozen-section and touch imprint cytology is performed to confirm the diagnosis of malignancy made on fine-needle aspiration or Tru-Cut biopsy. These modalities also help to reach a diagnosis if there was no previous FNAC or CNB result available. The frozen section and other intra-operative techniques have also gained popularity in assessing the surgical margins and sentinel lymph node status.

A study done on 319 breast cancer patients in Pakistan showed age range between 24 and 90 years (mean age: 53.3 years), which was higher than the current study. The frequency of malignant cases in their study was 89.9% and of benign cases was 9.09%. Another study done in Pakistan on breast cancer pattern in 3,431 cases revealed invasive ductal carcinoma to be the most common type of breast cancer.

In the present series, we were able to accurately diagnose 59 malignant cases on touch imprint cytology, while 2 cases were misdiagnosed giving false negative results. These cases were later diagnosed to be invasive lobular carcinoma and invasive ductal carcinoma on paraffin embedded sections. The marked desmoplastic stromal element in these tumours contributed to low cellularity leading to false negative results. The sensitivity, specificity and diagnostic accuracy thus calculated for touch imprint cytology was 96.72%, 100% and 97.37% respectively. The PPV and NPV were 100% and 88.24% respectively.

These results are comparable to a study which revealed the sensitivity, specificity and accuracy rates as 100% for class II and class V cytology lesions on touch imprints. Conversely, sensitivity, diagnostic accuracy and NPV were 87%, 95% and 85% respectively for class III and IV lesions.

Another study on touch imprints of breast lesions revealed higher sensitivity (100%) and lower specificity (71%) than the present study. These results were obtained when atypia was considered positive for malignancy. The PPV (88%) and diagnostic accuracy (85.55%) rates in this study were lower compared to our study. These values became 100% when atypia was categorised as negative for malignancy rather being positive for malignancy.

In the current study, we were able to accurately diagnose all histologically proven malignant (n=61) as well as benign (n=15) breast cases on frozen section. The sensitivity, specificity and diagnostic accuracy were 100%.

In Egypt, a comparative study on 130 patients revealed sensitivity, specificity, NPV and PPV for frozen section as 100%. However, their sensitivity and specificity rates of touch imprint cytology were lower than the current study, 92.22% and 93.33% respectively. The NPV and PPV were also lower measuring 80% and 97.65% respectively. The rate of false negative cases was lower while the rate of false positive cases was higher compared to our study.

A study of 319 breast lump cases in Karachi revealed sensitivity, specificity, NPV and PPV for frozen section as 99.6%, 93%, 96.6% and 99.3% respectively. The diagnostic yield of frozen section in their series was a bit low compared to our study. The number of discordant cases was 3 in this study; 2 cases were false positive and 1 false negative. The cases in which discrepancy was seen were lymphoma and atypical ductal papilloma.

In another study, frozen sections accurately diagnosed 623 out of 650 cases. The frozen section diagnosis was deferred to paraffin section in 22 cases. In their study 3 false positive and 24 false negative cases were diagnosed. The sensitivity and specificity recorded in their study was
lower compared to our study measuring 91.7% and 99.2% respectively.

One study\(^9\) showed almost comparable results to our study. Out of 290 breast cancer patients, frozen section analysis revealed only 2 false negative cases. The diagnosis of phyllodes tumour was missed in these cases. The sensitivity and specificity turned out to be 99.3% and 100% respectively. The PPV was 100%.

Amongst the large series reporting accuracy of frozen section diagnosis in the 1960s and early 1970s, the results were generally excellent giving false positive rates of 0.2-0.3% and false negative rates between 0.5 and 1.2%. On the contrary, the present study has shown 100% results for frozen section giving no false positive or false negative results (Table).

A study conducted\(^{18}\) on 2436 primary breast carcinomas showed an overall decline in the use of frozen sections. Although frozen sections are still popular for assessment of surgical margins as well as for the evaluation of sentinel lymph nodes,\(^{19}\) but cytology and CNB are becoming popular for primary diagnosis.\(^{20}\)

**Conclusion**

The diagnostic accuracy of frozen section was better than touch imprint cytology in making rapid intra-operative diagnosis. However, the touch imprint cytology can be reliably used as an acceptable alternative at centres where frozen section facility is not available.
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