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Abstract

Background and objectives: Body mass index (BMI) has been shown to be a more important predictor of bone mineral density (BMD). The objective of this study was to investigate the relationship of anthropometric measures including body mass index with bone mineral density in postmenopausal non-osteoporotic, osteopenic and osteoporotic women.

Methods: In this cross sectional study postmenopausal females between 50-70 years of age were recruited and divided into three groups: non-osteoporotic (n=52), osteopenic (n=69) and osteoporotic females (n=47). Anthropometric measures and bone mineral density were assessed. ANOVA was applied to compare groups while Post hoc Tuckey’s test was used for multiple comparisons between the groups. Spearman’s rho correlation was used to establish correlations.

Results: Body mass index (p = 0.034) and hip circumference (p = 0.013) were significantly higher in osteopenic as compared to osteoporotic females and waist to hip ratio was significantly higher (p = 0.005) in osteoporotic as compared to non-osteoporotic females. Significant positive correlation of body mass index was found with T-score (p = 0.022) and ultrasound bone profile index (p< 0.001) in postmenopausal females.

Conclusion: High body mass index is associated with high bone mineral density and reduced fracture risk in postmenopausal females. Increasing age and high waist to hip ratio can also lead to reduced bone mineral density in postmenopausal females.
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Introduction

Osteoporosis also called "silent disease" is a highly prevalent age related disease that has affected more than 200 million people around the world and it will soon reach epidemic proportions in the developing world in the coming years. In Pakistan more than 75% postmenopausal women are at the risk of development of osteoporosis and this risk even increases with age (55% in 45 to 55 years of age and 97% in 75 to 84 years of age). Type I osteoporosis that is also called postmenopausal osteoporosis, occurs after menopause when the estrogen levels drop in the body. But recently, modifiable risk factor like weight has been shown to be a more important predictor of bone mineral density (BMD) at weight bearing sites than sex hormones in postmenopausal women. Weight is said to be an important determinant of peak bone mass in early adulthood, and persons who are overweight in young age may be at an advantage regarding bone mass in older age. There is evidence in literature that suggest weight and lean body mass to be predominant predictors of BMD in normal elderly women and low body weight may largely account for the high prevalence of low BMD. While others contradict this by reporting that fat mass may be a more significant determinant of BMD in postmenopausal women and high body weight is believed to be protective against the development of osteoporosis and fracture risk. Thus the results are contradictory throughout the world with limited data available especially in Pakistan. So the present study was designed to investigate the relationship of anthropometric measures including BMI with bone mineral density in postmenopausal osteoporotic and non-osteoporotic women.

Methods

The present cross sectional study was carried out on 168 postmenopausal females grouped into three categories depending on the T-score values. Fifty-two postmenopausal non-osteoporotic females having T-score -1.0 or higher were taken in group A. Sixty-nine age matched postmenopausal osteopenic females having T-score between 1.0 to -2.5 were taken in group B. Forty-seven postmenopausal osteoporotic females having T score -3.2 or lower were taken in group C. Convenient sampling was done and subjects were selected from general population on the basis of inclusion and exclusion criteria. The duration of this study was 14 months (1st February 2012 to 31st March 2013). The
BMD and anthropometric measurements were performed. Females within age range of 50 to 70 years with at least 3 years of amenorrhea were included while females on medications affecting bone mineralization, steroids, cyclosporine, antifolate drugs, oral contraceptives/hormone replacement therapy, multivitamins and bisphosphonate therapy were excluded. Women with renal or liver disease, severe psoriasis, systemic diseases like hyperthyroidism, hyperparathyroidism and premature menopause were also excluded from the study.

Ethical review committee of University of Health Sciences, Lahore has given approval to conduct this study according to Helsinki declaration of human rights.

Written informed consent was obtained from all participants and detailed general physical examination was performed. Height, weight, waist girth and hip girth were measured using standardized equipment. Body mass index (BMI) was calculated by using the formula 'BMI = Weight (kgs) ÷ Height (m²), while waist to hip ratio (WHR) was calculated by the formula 'WHR = Waist girth ÷ Hip girth.

**Bone Mineral Density**

BMD of postmenopausal females was assessed from distal metaphysis of the proximal phalanges of fingers II to V (index, middle, ring and little finger) using DBM Sonic Bone profiler manufactured by IGEA, Capri, Italy, Model: BP01. Parameters of bone profile i.e. amplitude dependent speed of sound (ADSOS), T-score, Z-score, ultrasound bone profile index (UBPI) and bone transmission time (BTT) were computed. UBPI is an index of the future fracture risk. Its value is taken from 0 to 1. Greater values show decrease while lower values show increase risk of developing fractures in future.10

**Statistical Analysis**

The data were entered and analyzed using IBM-SPSS version 20 (Statistical Package for Social Sciences). Normal distribution of the data was checked by Shapiro-Wilk's statistics and if p-value was ≤ 0.05 data was considered to be non-normally distributed. Mean± SEM was given for normally distributed quantitative variables. Median with IQR was given for non-normally distributed quantitative variables. Spearman’s rho correlation was applied to observe correlations. ANOVA was used for comparison while Post hoc Tuckey’s test was used for multiple comparisons between the groups. P-value ≤ 0.05 was taken as statistically significant.

**Results**

| Table 1: Comparison of anthropometric measures of groups using ANOVA. | Group A (n=52) | Group B (n=69) | Group C (n=47) | p-value*
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Menopausal age (years)</td>
<td>49.59 ± 0.47</td>
<td>49.42 ± 0.47</td>
<td>48.36 ± 0.65</td>
<td>0.245</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (cm)</td>
<td>154.04 ± 0.78</td>
<td>153.35 ± 0.56</td>
<td>153.51 ± 0.83</td>
<td>0.769</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>73.10 ± 1.83</td>
<td>72.32 ± 1.64</td>
<td>66.96 ± 1.79</td>
<td>0.043</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>30.76 ± 0.70</td>
<td>30.87 ± 0.56</td>
<td>28.37 ± 0.69</td>
<td>0.027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waist circumference (cm)</td>
<td>98.46 ± 1.36</td>
<td>100.46 ± 1.19</td>
<td>98.43 ± 1.45</td>
<td>0.433</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hip circumference (cm)</td>
<td>108.71 ± 1.43</td>
<td>109.70 ± 1.45</td>
<td>103.68 ± 1.50</td>
<td>0.013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waist to hip ratio</td>
<td>0.90 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.91 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.94 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.007</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Group A = Postmenopausal non-osteoporotic females, ** Group B = Postmenopausal osteopenic females, *** Group C = Postmenopausal osteoporotic female.

Values are given as Mean ± SEM. *p-value ≤ 0.05 is considered statistically significant.

Alpha 0.05, Confidence Interval 95%, df = 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2: Correlation between parameters of bone profile (ADSOS, T-score, Z-score, UBPI) and anthropometric measures in postmenopausal females (n=168).</th>
<th>ADSOS</th>
<th>T-score</th>
<th>Z-score</th>
<th>UBPI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>-0.438</td>
<td>0.000*</td>
<td>-0.438</td>
<td>0.000*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MA</td>
<td>0.078</td>
<td>0.318</td>
<td>0.074</td>
<td>0.345</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.913</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.937</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight</td>
<td>0.177</td>
<td>0.022*</td>
<td>0.173</td>
<td>0.026*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>0.182</td>
<td>0.019*</td>
<td>0.178</td>
<td>0.022*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WG</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>0.840</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>0.889</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HG</td>
<td>0.170</td>
<td>0.029*</td>
<td>0.169</td>
<td>0.030*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WH</td>
<td>-0.206</td>
<td>0.008*</td>
<td>-0.210</td>
<td>0.007*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* p-value ≤ 0.05 is considered statistically significant, rho= Spearman’s rho correlation coefficient, 1= Menopausal age, 2= Body mass index, 3= Waist girth, 4= Hip girth, 5= Waist to hip ratio.
0.043), BMI (p = 0.027), hip circumference (p = 0.013) and waist to hip ratio (p = 0.007) between the three groups (Table-1). Multiple comparisons between the groups using post-hoc analysis showed that BMI was significantly higher (p = 0.034) in osteopenic as compared to osteoporotic females. Hip circumference was significantly higher (p = 0.013) in osteopenic as compared to osteoporotic females and waist to hip ratio was significantly higher (p = 0.005) in osteoporotic as compared to non-osteoporotic females. No significant difference in menopausal age (p = 0.245), height (p = 0.769) and waist circumference (p = 0.433) was found between the means of three groups. The correlations between anthropometric measures and parameters of
bone profile in postmenopausal females are shown in Table-2. In Group A, significant negative correlation of T-score (\( \rho = -0.343, p = 0.013 \)), and UBPI (\( \rho = -0.387, p = 0.005 \)), was found with age (Figure-1), while significant positive correlation of UBPI was seen with BMI (\( \rho = 0.275, p = 0.049 \)). In Group B, significant negative correlation of UBPI with age (\( \rho = -0.305, p = 0.011 \)), while significant positive correlation of UBPI was seen with BMI (\( \rho = 0.308, p = 0.010 \)) (Figure-2). Significant positive correlation of UBPI was also seen with weight (\( \rho = 0.362, p = 0.002 \)), waist circumference (\( \rho = 0.276, p = 0.022 \)) and hip circumference (\( \rho = 0.275, p = 0.022 \)). In Group C, significant negative correlation of UBPI was seen with age (\( \rho = -0.346, p = 0.017 \)) (Figure-3).

**Discussion**

Obesity is associated with increased mortality and morbidity from diabetes and cardiovascular diseases. On the other hand, high body weight is widely believed to be protective against the development of osteoporosis and fracture. In present study, Significant comparisons of weight, BMI, hip circumference and waist to hip ratio were found between groups. Within group comparisons showed significantly higher levels of BMI and hip circumference in postmenopausal osteopenic females as compared to postmenopausal osteoporotic females, while significantly higher levels of waist to hip ratio were seen in postmenopausal osteoporotic females as compared to postmenopausal non-osteoporotic females. In a study in Saudi population, it has been shown that waist to hip ratio and body weight might be the important determinants of BMD in postmenopausal women.\(^{11}\) Significant positive correlation of BMI was also seen with ADSOS, T-Score and UBPI in postmenopausal females showing that higher the BMI, higher will be the BMD and lower will be the fracture risk. It appears that higher BMI values are protective against osteoporosis but, if the fat distribution is more around the abdomen then it may become a risk factor for the development of osteoporosis as is seen by higher waist to hip ratio in osteoporotic females\(^{12,13}\), but, the cause behind this is needed to be explored. The reason behind greater BMD in females with higher BMI values is, may be due to the fact that in obese females, adrenal androstenedion is converted to estrogen by the enzyme aromatase in adipocytes and therefore, may exert positive effect on BMD. In previous studies low BMI has been shown to be associated with increased osteoporosis risk in American\(^{14}\) and Pakistani population.\(^{15}\) However, in China, obesity was found to be a risk factor not only for female osteoporosis\(^{16}\) but high prevalence of osteopenia and osteoporosis was also found in overweight and obese Chinese freshman.\(^{17}\) Similarly, in Polish postmenopausal women,\(^{18}\) and Italian population\(^{19}\) obesity was not found to be protective against osteoporosis, while no significant relation was found between BMD and anthropometric parameters in another study conducted in Pakistan.\(^{20}\) This could be related to the difference in the life style particularly with the nutritional history of the women, sample size studied and the techniques used to measure BMD. Guo, et al. has also shown genetic association between obesity and osteoporosis.\(^{21}\) However, the health related risks associated with obesity like hypertension, diabetes and breast cancer outweigh the protective effects of obesity on bone health.\(^{12}\)

There was significant negative correlation of age with parameters of bone profile (ADSOS, T-Score and UBPI) in postmenopausal females showing that increasing age may lower BMD in postmenopausal females and increases fracture risk. ADSOS and UBPI can significantly predict future fracture risks.\(^{22,23}\) A significant negative correlation of age was found with UBPI in three groups while age was also negatively correlated with ADSOS and T-score in non-osteoporotic group. Our finding is compatible with earlier studies showing that ageing is a non-modifiable risk factor that leads to osteoporosis.\(^{24,25}\)

**Conclusion**

It is thus concluded that high BMI could be beneficial for improving the quality of bone and decreasing fracture risk. Increasing age and high waist to hip ratio can also lead to reduced bone mineral density in postmenopausal females.
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