Original Article

Comparison of trans patellar approach and medial parapatellar tendon approach in tibial intramedullary nailing for treatment of tibial fractures

Alireza Sadeghpour, ¹ Reza Mansour, ² Hossein Akbari Aghdam, ³ Mohamad Goldust ⁴ Department of Orthopedics, ¹⁻³ Medical Student, ⁴ Tabriz University of Medical Sciences, Tabriz, Iran.

Abstract

Objectives: To evaluate the post-operative complications of intramedullary nailing technique by transpatellar approach compared to medial parapatellar approach.

Methods: Fifty patients with tibial fractures treated by intramedullary nailing through two transpatellar (t-group) and medial parapatellar (p-group) approaches were studied. Knee pain was assessed with visual analogue scale (VAS) for 2 weeks, 1, 3 and 6 months and range of motion (ROM) in 2 weeks and 3 months after surgery.

Results: There were 23 males and 2 females with a mean age of 28.68±5.78 years in t-group and 21 males and 4 females with a mean age of 28.80±5.82 in p-group. There was significant difference in knee pain score after 3 months (p=0.013) and 6 months (p=0.009) between the two study groups (p-group had less pain than t-group). But there was not significant difference between the two study groups in range of motion after 2 weeks and 3 months of surgery.

Conclusion: Our study recommends medial parapatellar tendon approach, although both approaches are safe. **Keywords:** Tibial fractures, Knee pain, Intramedullary nailing (JPMA 61:530; 2011).

530 J Pak Med Assoc

Introduction

Tibia fractures are one of the common traumatic fractures especially due to car accidents. In young people, the risk of these fractures increases up to 37.5%.1 Fractures of the tibia are among the most serious long bone fractures, due to their potential for nonunion, malunion, and long-term dysfunction, as well as their propensity for open injury. Intramedullary nailing is the gold standard treatment option for displaced closed or open tibial diaphyseal fractures.^{1,2} Intramedullary nailing acts as an internal splint and permits early weight bearing along with fracture healing.3 Intramedullary nailing is the treatment of choice for displaced tibial shaft fractures in adults.4,5 Several complications have been described including infection,^{6,7} compartment syndrome^{8,9} deep-vein thrombosis, thermal necrosis of the bone with alteration of its endosteal architecture^{10,11} failure of the metalwork^{12,13} and malunion and nonunion of the fracture and chronic anterior knee pain. 14,15 The evolution of tibial intramedullary nails dates back to the work of Gerhard Kuntscher during World War II. Nail design and instrumentation have advanced greatly since Kuntscher's nail, yet the surgical technique has changed little. Different surgical techniques are used such as Percutaneous reduction with conventional reduction forceps and unreamed intramedullary nailing, trans patellar and medial parapatellar approach but Tibial intramedullary nails are still largely inserted through a trans patellar tendonsplitting or medial parapatellar tendon approach. This article presents a summary of the evolution of tibial intramedullary techniques and describes the complications of different surgical approaches.

Methods

In this randomized, clinical trial, fifty patients (44 male and 6 female) were included. Both open and closed tibial fractures were present, which were randomized into two treatment groups during a 16-month period from July 2009 to September 2010, in Tabriz Shohada Hospital. All the patients were treated by intramedullary nailing through two transpatellar (t-group) and medial parapatellar (p-group) approaches. Inclusion criteria were age between 20 and 40 years, open or closed tibial fractures with indication of intramedullary nailing. Exclusion criteria were immune deficiency, Diabetes Mellitus, malnutrition, trauma of head or abdomen or chest, open fractures without indication of intramedullary nailing and wound on nailing site. Educational level of the patients, (university graduated or no university), and etiology of the tibial fracture (accident or other etiology) were enquired. Type of fracture(open or closed) was also noted. Knee pain according to Visual Analogue Scale (VAS) after 2 weeks, 1, 3 and 6 month and

range of motion (ROM) in 2 weeks and 3 months after surgery were assessed. Lengths of skin incision and nail out of anterior tibial cortex was measured. Quantitative data were analyzed using SPSS software of the Paired Samples Test programme. McNemar approach was used for qualitative data significance defined as P-value<0/05.

Results

There were 23 males and 2 females with a mean age of 28.68 ± 5.78 years in t-group and 21 males and 4 females with a mean age of 28.80 ± 5.82 years in p-group. In t-group, 22 patients had closed fractures and 3 patients had open fractures. In p-group, 21 patients had closed fractures and 4 patients had open fractures. There was no significant difference between the two study groups with respect to mean age, sex distribution, educational degree, etiology of fracture, ROM, lengths of skin incision and nail out of anterior tibial cortex (p>0.05) (Table-1). There was significant difference

Table-1: Variables and Results in two approach groups.

Variable	Trans patellar approach	Medial parapatellar approach	p-value
Male	23 (92%)	21 (84%)	0.667
Female	2 (8%)	4 (16%)	
Mean age (years)	28.68±5.76	28.80±5.82	0.942
Educational degree:			
Not University graduated	17 (68%)	19(76%)	0.529
University graduated	8(32%)	6(24%)	
Etiology:			
Accidents	19(76%)	21(84%)	0.48
Others	6(24%)	4(16%)	
Type of fracture:			
Open	3(12%)	4(16%)	1
Closed	22(88%)	21(84%)	
Skin incision length:			
4-5 cm	4(16%)	9(36%)	0.099
6-7 cm	16(64%)	15(60%)	
Over 7 cm	5(20%)	1(4%)	
Nail out of anterior h co	rtex:		
1-3 mm	2(8%)	7(28%)	0.18
4-6 mm	11(44%)	8(32%)	
Over 6 mm	12(48%)	10(40%)	

between the two study groups (in p-group less than t-group) in knee pain score after 3 months (p=0.013) and 6 months (p=0.009) (Table-2). Two weeks after surgery in t-group and p-group, 10 and 8 patients had 30-60 degree knee range of motion respectively,15 and 17 patients had 60-90 degree knee range of motion respectively. Three months after surgery in t-group and p-group 11 and 8 patients had 60-90 degree and 14 and 17 patients had >90 degree knee range of motion respectively. There was no significant difference between knee range of motion (ROM) in 2 weeks and 3 months after surgery between both groups (p>0.05) (Table-3). There was

Vol. 61, No. 6, June 2011 531

Table-2: Comparison of knee pain between two groups.

Variable	Trans patellar	Medial parapatellar	p-value
VAS:			
2 weeks after surgery	6.64±1.32	4.32±1.14	0.364
1 month after surgery	3.44±1.23	3.20±1.32	0.509
3 month after surgery	2.20±2.31	0.72±1.21	0.013
6 month after surgery	1.28±1.62	0.32±0.69	0.009

Table-3: Comparison of Range of Movement (ROM) between two groups.

Variable	Trans patellar	Medial parapatellar	p-value
2 weeks after surgery			
30-60	10(20%)	8(16%)	0/332
60-90	15(30%)	17(34%)	0/441
>90	-	-	
3 month after surgery	•		
30-60	-	-	
60-90	11 (22%)	8(16%)	0/252
>90	14 (28%)	17(34%)	0/248

no post-operative complication in patients and knee's ROM was over 90° in flexion after 6 months in all patients.

Discussion

Intramedullary nailing of tibial fractures has evolved since the 1940s. Advances have been made in metallurgy and nail design, which have expanded the indications for intramedullary stabilization of tibial fractures; however, the approach to nailing a diaphyseal fracture has remained largely unchanged: either a patellar tendon-splitting or medial or lateral parapatellar approach. Tornetta¹⁶ and Cole¹⁷ have described the use of medial patellar arthrotomies for nailing proximal tibial fractures. These techniques are helpful in reducing the deforming forces, allowing proper reduction of proximal fractures, and preventing a procurvatum deformity. However, they require large incisions for nail insertion. Achieving an accurate approach with less post-operative complications, early mobilization and satisfaction of the patient is an important goal and we based this study on this goal, with a follow up of 6 months after surgery. The mean age of the patients in the study by Babis et al¹⁸ was 37.5 which is slightly less than our patients age. Similar to our experience O'Dwyer et al had more males than females showing a higher frequency of tibial fracture in males.¹⁹ There was significant difference in pain 3 and 6 months after surgery based on VAS in p-group. The etiology of anterior knee pain after intramedullary femoral or tibial nailing is unclear, although there may be a combination of factors responsible for it. With the numbers available, in their retrospective studies, Keating et al.20 and Orfaly et al.21 found a clear association between a transtendinous surgical approach and chronic anterior knee pain, and they

recommended the routine use of a medial paratendinous approach. In contrast, Court-Brown et al. did not find any association between the surgical approach and anterior knee pain.²² Gender and age-related differences have also been given some consideration. Vaisto et al. noted that women were more symptomatic than men and had a longer hospital stay after tibial nailing. The reason is unknown but anthropometric and anatomical differences were suggested as causative factors.²³ In contrast to our study Babis et al¹⁹ used the 3-4 cm skin incision over the fracture site in 20% patients and in the remaining patients the incision was over 4 cm. In our study, the Trans patellar approach had a 3-4 cm skin incision over the fracture site in 16% and in Medial parapatellar approachit it was in 36% patients. Similar to our study Keating et al²⁴ reported an insignificant difference in the knee range of motion in the two groups (p>0/05).

Conclusion

Our study recommends medial parapatellar tendon approach although both approaches are safe. Protrusion of the nail should be avoided. The implant should be adequately countersunk and the appropriate design chosen regarding its profile and position of the locking screws. More studies to assess the role of other factors in chronic anterior knee pain are warranted.

References

- Alho A, Benterud JG, Hegevold HE, Ekeland A, Stromsoe K. Comparison of functional bracing and locked intramedullary nailing in the treatment of displaced tibial shaft fractures. Clin Orthop 1992; 277: 243-50.
- Bhandari M, Adili A, Leone J, Lachowski RJ, Kwok DC. Early versus delayed operative management of closed tibial fractures. Clin Orthop Relat Res 1999; 368: 230-9
- Kuntscher GB. The Kuntscher method of intramedullary fixation. J Bone Joint Surg Am 1958; 40: 17-26.
- Bone LB, Sucato D, Stegemann PM, Rohrbacher BJ. Displaced isolated fractures of the tibial shaft treated with either a cast or intramedullary nailing. An outcome analysis of matched pairs of patients. J Bone Joint Surg Am 1997; 79: 1336-41.
- Court-Brown CM, Christie J, McQueen MM. Closed intramedullary tibial nailing. Its use in closed and type I open fractures. J Bone Joint Surg Br 1990; 72: 605-11
- Winquist RA, Hansen ST Jr, Clawson DK. Closed intramedullary nailing of femoral fractures: A report of five hundred and twenty cases. 1984. J Bone Joint Surg Am 2001; 83-A: 1912.
- Wiss DA, Brien WW, Stetson WB. Interlocked nailing for treatment of segmental fractures of the femur. J Bone Joint Surg Am 1990; 72-A: 724-8.
- Boenisch UW, de Boer PG, Journeaux SF. Unreamed intramedullary tibial nailing - fatigue of locking bolts. Injury 1996; 27: 265-70.
- Bonnevialle P, Cariven P, Bonnevialle N, Mansat P, Martinel Y, Yeshaeghe L, et al. [Segmentai tibia fractures: a critical retrospective analysis of 49 cases]. Rev Chir Orthop Reparatrice Appar Mot 2003; 89: 423-32 (in French).
- Baumgart F, Kohler G, Ochsner PE. The physics of heat generation during reaming of the medullary cavity. Injury 1998; 29 (Suppl 2): B 11-25.
- Klein MP, Rahn BA, Frigg R, Kessler S, Perren SM. Reaming versus nonreaming in medullary nailing: interference with cortical circulation of the canine tibia. Arch Orthop Trauma Surg 1990; 109: 314-6.
- Joshi D, Ahmed A, Krishna L, Lal Y. Unreamed interlocking nailing in open fractures of tibia. J Orthop Surg (Hong Kong) 2004; 12: 216-21.
- 13. Kneifel T, Buckley R. A comparison of one versus two distal locking screws in

532 J Pak Med Assoc

- tibial fractures treated with unreamed tibial nails: a prospective randomized clinical trial. Injury 1996; 27: 271-3.
- Brumback RJ, Reilly JP, Poka A, Lakatos RP, Bathon GH, Burgess AR, et al. Intramedullary nailing of femoral shaft fractures. Part I: Decisionmaking errors with interlocking fixation. J Bone Joint Surg Am 1988; 70-A: 1441-52.
- Brumback RJ, Uwagie-Ero S, Lakatos RP, Poka A, Bathon GH, Burges AR. Intramedullary nailing of femoral shaft fractures Part II: Fracture-healing with static interlocking fixation. J Bone Joint Surg Am 1988; 70-A: 1453-62.
- Tornetta P III. Nailing proximal tibial fractures. Paper presented at: AO Regional Fracture Summit; February 2, 2009; Stowe, Vermont.
- Cole DJ. Intramedullary fixation of proximal tibial fractures. Techniques in Orthopaedics 1998; 13: 27-37.
- Babis G, Benetos IS, Karachalios T, Soucacos PN. Eight years' clinical experience with the Orthofix tibial system in the treatment of tibial shaft

- fracture. Injury 2007; 38: 227-34.
- O'Dwyer A, Chakravarty RD, Esler CNA.Intramedullary nailing technique and its effect on union rates of tibial shaft fracture. Injury1994; 25: 461-4.
- Keating JF, Orfaly R, O'Brien PJ. Knee pain after tibial nailing. J Orthop Trauma 1997; 11: 10-3.
- Orfaly R, Keating JE, O'Brien PJ. Knee pain after tibial nailing: does the entry point matter? J Bone Joint Surg Br 1995; 77: 976-7.
- Court-Brown CM, Gustilo T, Shaw AD. Knee pain after intramedullary tibial nailing: its incidence, etiology, and outcome. J Orthop Trauma 1997; 11: 103-5.
- Vaisto O, Toivanen J, Kannus P, Jarvinen M. Anterior knee pain and thigh muscle strength after intramedullary nailing of tibial shaft fractures: a report of 40 consecutive cases. J Orthop Trauma 2004; 18: 18-23.
- Keating JF, O'Brien PJ, Blachut PA Meek RN, Broekhuyse HM. Locking intramedullary nailing with and without reaming for open fractures of the tibial shaft. A prospective, randomized study. J Bone Joint Surg Am 1997; 79: 334-41.

Vol. 61, No. 6, June 2011 533