Introduction
Cancellation of elective surgical cases after hospital admission causes emotional distress for patients and their families and resource wastage for hospital.

Uncontrolled hypertension is a common reason for such cancellations. Cancellation rates have been reported as 3.5%, 1 13.4%2 and 39.8%3 in different studies.

The World Hypertension Society / International Society of Hypertension (WHO/ISH) reported the incidence of hypertension as 60% in adults4 which increases with age.5 Jaffer et al6 reported an incidence of 25% in Pakistani population. A prevalence study from South Asian Association for Regional Cooperation (SAARC) reported a 13% to 48% incidence of hypertension.7 A survey of South Asian immigrant workers in the United Arab Emirates from Pakistan, India and Bangladesh revealed an overall incidence of 30.4%,8 and 76% of them were unaware of the disease.

The current study was planned to identify cases where hypertension was mentioned as the primary reason for the cancellation of surgery, and to see how such cancellations can be reduced.

Material and Method
The retrospective audit was conducted at the Aga Khan University Hospital (AKUH), Karachi, and comprised all cancellations of elective surgical cases from 2011 to 2015. The cases reviewed had been cancelled primarily due to hypertension. A three-member committee regularly reviewed files regarding case cancellations and data was gathered.

Results: Of the 42,242 surgical cases scheduled during the period, 2903 (6.8%) were cancelled. In 11 (0.37%) of these cases, hypertension was the primary reason. Of them, 10 (91%) were men and 1 (9%) woman. Overall age range was 30-77 years. Among the cancelled cases, 3 (27.3%) each belonged to neurosurgery and general surgery, 2 (18%) each to orthopaedic and urology, and 1 (9%) to ear, nose and throat surgery.

Conclusion: Hypertension as the primary reason for cancellation was low. Further reductions in these cancellations can be done by emphasis on following guidelines and their dissemination through continuing medical education.
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Table: Detail of patients who were cancelled on the elective lists due to uncontrolled hypertension.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. No.</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Pre-op ASA Status</th>
<th>Surgery</th>
<th>Listed Co-morbidity</th>
<th>Medication</th>
<th>Seen in Pre-op clinic</th>
<th>In pre-op Clinic</th>
<th>On Surgical Ward</th>
<th>Recorded B.P</th>
<th>Cancellation justified/unjustified</th>
<th>Case Canceled by Anaesthetist (A)/Surgeon (S)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Laparoscopic Cholecystectomy</td>
<td>Hypertension (controlled)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>148/69</td>
<td>210/110</td>
<td>244/123</td>
<td>Justified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>L3 Microdiscectomy</td>
<td>Hypertension (controlled)</td>
<td>Tenormin</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>157/96</td>
<td>145/95</td>
<td>165/110</td>
<td>Unjustified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Interspedullary nailing femur</td>
<td>Hypertension (controlled)</td>
<td>Lozar</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>160/100</td>
<td>170/120</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Justified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Laparoscopic Cholecystectomy</td>
<td>Hypertension (controlled)</td>
<td>Amlodipine</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>130/62</td>
<td>164/67</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Unjustified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Ureterorenoscopy and DJD insertion</td>
<td>Hypertension (controlled)</td>
<td>Metoprolol</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>199/97</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Unjustified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Repair of Radial nerve</td>
<td>Hypertension (controlled)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>140/80</td>
<td>136/93</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Unjustified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Retroperitoneal mass excision</td>
<td>Hypertension (controlled)</td>
<td>No treatment</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>162/124</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Unjustified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Laminectomy</td>
<td>Hypertension (controlled)</td>
<td>Perindopril</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>154/105</td>
<td>168/117</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Unjustified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Spinal instrumentation D5 - D9</td>
<td>Hypertension (controlled)</td>
<td>Nonoc</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>180/120</td>
<td>161/112</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Unjustified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Submandibular lymph nodes excision (Day Care)</td>
<td>Hypertension (controlled)</td>
<td>Anaemia</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>156/110</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Unjustified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Submandibular lymph nodes excision (Day Care)</td>
<td>CAD: Coronary artery disease; PCNL: Percutaneous nephrolithotomy; NA: not available; HR: Heart rate.</td>
<td>On Medication</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>130/85/190/110</td>
<td>190/110 chest heaviness</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Unjustified</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Anaesthesiologists were 87(3%). In 11(0.37%) of the total cancelled cases, hypertension was the primary reason. Of them, 10(91%) were men and 1(9%) woman. Overall age range was 30-77 years, and 3(27.3%) cases were below 40 years of age.

Among the cancelled cases, 3(27.3%) each belonged to neurosurgery and general surgery, 2(18%) each to orthopaedic and urolgy, and 1(9%) to ear, nose and throat surgery.

Besides, 7(64%) cases had undergone preoperative assessment at the clinic and 4(36%) had been assessed in the ward. Only 1(9%) case was day-care admission. Also, 8(73%) cases were known hypertensives, while in the remaining 3(27%), hypertension was discovered on the day of the admission.

Three (27%) patients had a preoperative blood pressure reading of less than 180/110 mmHg. Overall, 3(27%) cancellations were labelled justified (Table).

**Discussion**

The audit revealed that 0.37% (n=11) of the total booked cases on elective surgical lists were cancelled due to hypertension, and 73% of these were known hypertensives. The figure accounted for 12.6% of total...
anaesthesia cancellations.

An audit across National Health Service (NHS) hospitals in the United Kingdom revealed that uncontrolled hypertension accounted for 0.5 to 1.5% of the total booked cases.9 Mesmar et al10 reported 11% cancellation of scheduled cases due to uncontrolled hypertension. This is similar to our result of 12.6%. A one-year retrospective survey of 25 hospitals in Saudi Arabia11 documented 7.6% case cancellation rate, with 4.12% due to hypertension. A study3 from Sudan reported a higher figure of 39.8% of cancellations due to hypertension.

Studies from Pakistan haven't specifically looked at hypertension as the sole reason for cancellation. Hussain et al.1 reported 28 cancellations by the anaesthetist over a period of one year in a tertiary care hospital. In this audit, only one patient was cancelled due to higher blood pressure (BP) >180/110 mmHg, (0.01% of all cancelled cases and 3.5% of all anaesthetic cancellations). In another local study, uncontrolled BP and cardiac problems accounted for 15.08% of cancellations.12 A study from Tanzania13 reported 17.5% cancellation due to uncontrolled medical conditions, hypertension being one of them.

Current guidelines from the Association of Anaesthetists of Great Britain and Ireland (AAGBI), and British Hypertension Society (BHS)9 recommend moving ahead with surgery if preoperative BP is less than 180/110 mmHg. A higher pressure may warrant intervention, particularly in patients with known risk factors like coronary artery disease (CAD). The aim should be to decrease the blood pressure slowly over 30-60 minutes and by no more than 25% to a target value less than 180/110 mmHg. James et al.14 recommended investigating for target organ damage in previously undiagnosed hypertensive patients prior to surgery. They strongly denounced cosmetic correction of high BP just prior to surgery. Three of our patients were cancelled with BP < 180/110mmHg.

The importance of audits of unjustified cancellation is to identify any correctable factors and to prevent them. Such data can be used to bring about system changes in patient management. Preoperative assessment is indicated prior to surgery to optimise any comorbidity.9 The patient should be again evaluated prior to surgery as the physical condition might change over the course of time since the first assessment. In case of a decision to cancel, the anaesthesiologist and surgeons should mutually discuss and agree. If such discussion had taken place, some of the cases that were cancelled by the surgeons would have been acceptable for anaesthesia in our study based on current guidelines.

In lower and middle income countries (LMICs), patients often present to the specialist for the first time as there is no existing screening system in the health services. Hence, the chance of discovering hypertension at the time of hospital admission increases. Our rate of cancellation due to hypertension was not different to that reported from the UK, but this may be because majority of our patients were screened in the preoperative clinic. In hospitals where these clinics do not exist, the cancellation rate may be higher.

Dissemination of guidelines and their implementation may also help to reduce the burden of unjustified cancellations. This can be achieved through continuing medical education (CME) activities and sharing of guidelines in multidisciplinary meetings.

The current audit has some limitations as it was a retrospective audit, and inadequate documentation accounted for some missing information. Compliance with antihypertensive medication was also not adequately documented.

Conclusion
The five-year audit revealed that hypertension as the primary reason for cancellation was only 0.37% of the total cancellations and 12.6% of total anaesthesia-based cancellations. It is low in comparison to other studies in the region and is comparable with developed countries. However, similar audits are recommended to be conducted in other Pakistani institutions to assess the rate of unjustified cancellations and to improve systems.
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