Intravenous dexamethasone along with caudal block improves analgesic efficacy following day-case inguinal hernia repair in children: A randomized controlled trial
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Abstract

Objective: To assess the effectiveness of intravenous dexamethasone along with caudal block in improving analgesia following inguinal hernia repair in children.

Methods: The double-blind randomised controlled trial was conducted over a 6-month period from June 01, 2016 to November 30, 2016 at the Aga Khan University Hospital, Karachi, and comprised patients aged 1-5 years, scheduled for elective inguinal hernia repair. The subjects were randomised into two groups using the sealed envelope technique. Group D patients received 0.5mg/kg dexamethasone intravenously in 5ml, and group P was given placebo (5ml 0.9% saline). Assessment of postoperative pain was made through the faces, legs, activity, cry and consolability tool at 30 minutes and hourly for 4 hours. Rescue analgesia was given at pain score 3 or more with intravenous pethidine 0.5 mg/kg. SPSS 19 was used for data analysis.

Results: Of the 64 patients, there were 55(85.9%) boys and 9(14.1%) girls. The overall mean age was 29.8±13.8 months. The mean postoperative pain score was significantly higher in group P (p<0.05). At 30 minutes and two hours postoperatively, need for analgesia was also significantly higher in group P (p<0.05).

Conclusion: In paediatric day-care inguinal hernia repair, dexamethasone could be used effectively for improving pain relief.
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Introduction

Adequate analgesia with minimal side effects is an important requirement for surgeries performed on a day-case basis. Opioids provide reliable postoperative analgesia, but have limitations in day-case surgeries due to their side effects such as delayed awakening, drowsiness, nausea, vomiting, etc.

The current strategy of multimodal analgesia for postoperative pain management is recommended to achieve effective pain relief while reducing opioid-related adverse effects. It is known that general anaesthesia combined with regional block provides effective postoperative analgesia and reduces intraoperative anaesthetic requirement, resulting in a quicker recovery. Caudal block along with general anaesthesia is a popular technique for providing effective intra and postoperative analgesia for infra-umbilical surgeries in paediatric patients.

An important contribution to the origin of surgical pain is made by the acute inflammation caused by tissue injury. Dexamethasone is a corticosteroid with potent anti-inflammatory effect which might contribute to postoperative analgesia. An intraoperative intravenous (IV) injection of 8mg dexamethasone has been shown to be effective in reducing postoperative analgesic requirements in dental and thyroid surgeries in adults.

In one study, children receiving IV dexamethasone with caudal block were found to need less rescue analgesia compared to the control group (7.9% vs. 38.5%) following orchidopexy. Another study showed similar results when caudal block with levobupivacaine was used with IV dexamethasone in children. In another randomised controlled trial, single-dose dexamethasone in combination with penile block decreased postoperative analgesic requirement. Caudal block with bupivacaine has duration of action of around 03 hours. The current study was planned to determine the effectiveness of IV dexamethasone along with caudal analgesia in improving pain relief in children undergoing inguinal hernia repair.

Patients and Methods

The double-blind randomised controlled trial (RCT) was conducted over a 6-month period from June 01, 2016 to November 30, 2016 at the Aga Khan University Hospital,
Karachi. After approval from the institutional ethics committee, the sample size was calculated on the basis of a study in which fewer patients receiving dexamethasone along with caudal block needed additional analgesia compared to those receiving caudal analgesia alone (7.9% vs. 38.5%) following paediatric orchidopexy. The sample size was calculated for achieving 90% power at significance level of 5%.

All paediatric patients aged 1-5 years, American Society of Anaesthesiologists (ASA) class 1 and 2, scheduled for elective inguinal hernia repair and who were to receive caudal block were included. Patients with congenital heart disease, deranged hepatic or renal function, haemorrhagic diathesis, known allergy to the study drug, obesity and local anatomic abnormalities were excluded. Patients in whom there was failure to perform block or who required intraoperative narcotics were also excluded.

In the evening, the operating list for the following day were examined by the primary investigator to note down the paediatric patients booked for inguinal hernia repair. Patients meeting the inclusion criteria were selected and written informed consent was taken from the parents. Patients received midazolam 0.5mg/kg orally up to maximum of 7.5mg as premedication an hour before surgery. Random allocation was carried out using the sealed envelope technique. Each patient was allocated to one of the two groups. Patients in group D were given 0.5mg/kg dexamethasone (maximum of 10mg) made up to 5ml by adding 0.9% saline and labelled the ‘study drug’, while patients in group P were administered 5ml of 0.9% saline, also labelled the ‘study drug’. All the drugs were made and supplied by an anaesthetist who was not involved in data collection. Anaesthetic management was conducted by the primary anaesthetist assigned to the operating room (OR) and blinded to the study groups.

In the OR, standard monitoring was applied and after obtaining baseline vitals, sevoflurane 5-8% in oxygen was administered for the induction of anaesthesia, and IV access was secured. A laryngeal mask airway (LMA) was inserted and caudal block was performed in the lateral position with bupivacaine 0.25% 1ml/kg (maximum 20ml). The patient was then administered drug according to the group. Primary anaesthetist responsible for the OR, with experience of more than 2 years, performed the caudal block. The patient breathed spontaneously during maintenance of anaesthesia with sevoflurane in 50% oxygen and nitrous oxide. Surgery was started by consultant paediatric surgeon 15 minutes after the caudal block was performed. More than 20% variability in vitals was considered to be ineffective caudal block. IV pethidine 0.5mg/kg was given to the patients if the caudal block was found ineffective. On completion of surgery, patient was awakened and taken to post-anaesthesia care unit (PACU). One of the researchers, blinded to the group allocation, collected data in PACU and day-care unit. Pain was assessed according to the faces, legs, activity, cry and consolability (FLACC) score of 0-10. Assessment of pain was performed at 30 minutes, one hour, two hours, three hours and four hours after the end of the procedure. Rescue analgesia, if needed, was given at pain score 3 or more with IV pethidine bolus of 0.5mg/kg. Final pain assessment was done at four hours postoperatively and analgesia was considered effective if no rescue analgesia was required. All patients were discharged within 6-8 hours of the end of the surgical procedure.

SPSS 19 was used for statistical analysis. Mean and standard deviation (SD) were calculated for quantitative elements, such as age, height, weight and duration of surgery, while frequencies and percentages were computed for categorical variables, including gender and analgesic efficacy. Independent sample t-test for normal data and Mann-Whitney test for the rest were applied to compare mean and median values between the groups for age and duration of surgery respectively. Difference in analgesic efficacy between the groups was compared using chi-square test. P<0.05 was considered significant.

**Results**

Of the 64 patients, there were 55(85.9%) boys and 9(14.1%) girls. The overall mean age was 29.8±13.8 months. Gender, age, weight, height and duration of surgery were not significantly different between the groups (p>0.05) (Table-1).

On arrival in PACU, group P patients had significantly lower postoperative pain scores compared to group D (p<0.05). Table-1: Comparison of demographic characteristics of patients between groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Group D</th>
<th>Group P</th>
<th>P-Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (months)</td>
<td>28.8±14.3</td>
<td>30.9±13.4</td>
<td>0.5*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (cm)</td>
<td>96.1±9.8</td>
<td>96.9±7.4</td>
<td>0.7*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>15.1±4.8</td>
<td>15.2±4.1</td>
<td>0.9*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of Surgery (minutes)</td>
<td>42.1±6.8</td>
<td>41.3±6.9</td>
<td>0.6*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>30(93.7%)</td>
<td>25(78.1%)</td>
<td>0.18†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>2(6.3%)</td>
<td>7(21.9%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Independent; t test used; †fisher exact test used.
Group 1:
higher mean pain scores compared to group D (p<0.05), with a higher requirement for analgesia. The requirement for pain medication was also significantly higher in group P at 30 minutes, with 4(12.5%) patients requiring analgesia in group P compared to none in group D (p<0.05). At two hours, analgesic requirement was again significantly higher in group P compared to group D (p=0.04), but the analgesic requirement was similar in the two groups at three and four hours postoperatively (Table-2; Figure).

### Discussion

The results showed significantly lower pain scores in dexamethasone group compared to placebo group in the recovery room, and the finding is comparable with literature that studied the effect of IV dexamethasone with caudal analgesia in children aged 1-5 years in the postoperative period following orchidopexy. It found that a significantly lesser number of patients required rescue fentanyl in the dexamethasone group (7.9% vs. 38.5%) in PACU and they also required lesser paracetamol (23.7% vs. 64.1%). It concluded that IV dexamethasone, when used along with caudal block, increases the efficacy of postoperative analgesia and also prolongs the analgesia. The effect of steroids in improving recovery profile in children after tonsillectomy was studied by Steward et al. who concluded that postoperative pain was improved in children receiving dexamethasone. Overall effectiveness of postoperative pain relief is highly influenced by pain management in the immediate postoperative period. Close pain assessment and management during this period allows for timely discharge after day-care surgeries on regular simple analgesics and better patient and parent satisfaction. In the current study, 27 patients required rescue analgesia with pethidine in the placebo group in the first 30 minutes after surgery. In one study, dexamethasone group had lower postoperative pain scores and time for first need for oral paracetamol was also significantly longer in this group. Significant difference was not present in pain scores and the requirement for analgesic medications between the two groups at one hour and four hours postoperatively in the current study. Administration of rescue analgesia in recovery room is the probable reason for the similar pain profile seen in the two groups in the subsequent pain assessments. Again, two hours after surgery, 8
patients required rescue analgesia in the placebo group compared to 2 in dexamethasone group. After effective pain relief in all patients in the first two hours postoperatively, the pain scores and the analgesic requirement remained similar in the two groups.

Some studies on adult patients have demonstrated analgesic efficacy of dexamethasone. A double-blind RCT studied the effect of single 8mg dose of dexamethasone on postoperative nausea, pain and vocal function after thyroidectomy compared to placebo. Postoperative pain was noted up to 48 hours with visual analogue scale (VAS). Also, there was significant reduction in pain scores in the dexamethasone group and requirement of rescue analgesia was also decreased compared to the control group.

A study evaluated the analgesic requirement with dexamethasone in patients scheduled for dental surgery in a randomised, double-blind study. It administered different doses of dexamethasone (4, 8 or 16mg) at the completion of surgery. There was a decreased postoperative requirement for rescue analgesia after the administration of 8mg and 16mg dexamethasone compared to placebo or 4mg dexamethasone. The study did not mention what adverse effects were found with higher doses. Another study compared the effect of a preoperative IV dose of dexamethasone on postoperative pain and other variables like emesis and haemorrhage compared to placebo, and reported that pain control was significantly better in dexamethasone group.

The limitation of the current study is that pain scores were followed for four hours postoperatively. Follow-up for a longer period would have further highlighted the analgesic effects of dexamethasone once the effect of caudal had worn off. However, all patients were day-care cases and were discharged within 6 hours of surgery, and logistics and financial constraints prevented telephonic follow-up. For similar reasons we were not able to assess our patients for side effects. An earlier study found that there were no adverse effects associated with one single dose of 0.5mg/kg dexamethasone, thus making it a favourable choice for day-care orchidopexy in children. The main strength of our study is that bias and confounders were controlled by the use of a single, clear objective along with well-defined and measureable outcome variables. The well-defined inclusion and exclusion criteria further limited any bias or confounders, and the scientifically pre-calculated sample size provided strength to the study sample.

Further multicentre studies focussing on other variables, including safety, efficacy and adverse effects, associated with dexamethasone when used in children are recommended.

**Conclusion**

The analgesic effect of dexamethasone, when used along with caudal analgesia in day-care inguinal hernia repair, was commendable in the early postoperative period.

**Limitation:** This trial was not registered with a trial registry service because registration was not mandatory at the authors’ institution (Aga Khan University) when the study was conducted in 2016.
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