Original (RCT) Article

Effects of different polyvinylpyrrolidone iodine concentrations on trismus and swelling following third molar surgery
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Abstract

Objective: To compare the clinical efficacy of different povidone iodine concentrations for the management of postoperative pain and swelling following mandibular third molar surgery.

Methods: The randomised, prospective, double-blind and controlled study was conducted from October 2016 to January 2018 at the Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, Faculty of Dentistry, Biruni University, Istanbul, Turkey, and comprised individuals aged 18-30 years who underwent surgical removal of pathology-free completely unerupted mandibular lower third molars. The participants were randomly assigned to four groups: Group I had saline-only controls, Group II was given 0.5% concentration of povidone
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iodine, Group III had 1% concentration of povidone iodine, and Group IV had 3% concentration of povidone iodine. Facial swelling and trismus were assessed on the 2nd and 7th postoperative days. Data was analysed using SPSS 22.

**Results:** Of the 80 patients, 34(42.5%) were males and 46(57.5%) were females with an overall mean age of 24.6±3.68 years. Each group had 20(25%) subjects. All three concentrations of povidone iodine provided significant reduction in postoperative trismus compared to the controls. Trismus was less in Group III and Group IV compared to Group II up to 7 days after surgery.

**Conclusion:** Irrigation with 3% povidone iodine concentration was found to be more effective in reducing the level of facial swelling after impacted third molar surgery. (Clinical Trials.gov Identifier: NCT03894722)
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**Introduction**

The surgical extraction of impacted third molars is one of the most frequently performed procedures in dentoalveolar surgery\(^1,2\). As part of the human body's ability to self-heal, characteristic symptoms following third molar extraction, such as pain, swelling or trismus, may commonly appear to respond favourably to the surgical trauma which led to patient discomfort during the post-operative period. Although the risk/benefit analyses are available in literature, there remains some controversy regarding which specific methods best address improvements in patient comfort and the enhancement of well-being in the post-operative period\(^3\).

In spite of difficulties in identifying the main aetiology among various predisposing factors, various strategies are associated with third molar surgery\(^4,5\). Most studies describe the use of topical antimicrobial agents to stave off any microbial threat during wound-healing\(^6,7\). Despite warnings regarding the misuse and overuse of antibiotics that could raise the risk of
developing antimicrobial resistance, there has been a dwindling reliance on antibiotic prophylaxis or pre-emptive antimicrobial medication for surgery to reduce the incidence of inflammatory complications and impaired wound-healing\textsuperscript{7}.

Polyvinylpyrrolidone iodine (PVP-I; povidone-iodine), formed following the binding of free iodine to PVP, is a highly potent antiseptic water-soluble agent used for skin preparation before and after surgery. PVP-I shows bactericidal activity against a wide range of micro-organisms, including bacteria, fungi, protozoa and viruses. Although PVP does not show any intrinsic antimicrobial activity, its role in free-iodine delivery directly to bacterial target cell membranes has been described. In more recent studies, haemostatic, osteogenic and anti-oedematous effects of PVP-I have been demonstrated with significant results\textsuperscript{5,6,8,9}. Its potent anti-oedematous activity at low concentrations was associated with the inhibition of human inflammatory mediators such as histamine, bradykinin, serotonin prostaglandins, leukotrienes and other substances released into their surroundings by human effector cells immediately after injury\textsuperscript{10}.

Exercising precautions with patients undergoing impacted third molar surgery in the intra-operative phase may allow for a reduction in the rate of development of post-operative inflammatory complications\textsuperscript{11}. It was reported that PVP-I had haemostatic and anti-oedematous properties with satisfactory results when used as an irrigation or coolant solution after or during extraction; however, the ideal concentration of PVP-I for maximal efficacy was not clarified\textsuperscript{5,6,8,11}.

The current study was planned to compare the efficacy of different concentrations of PVP-I in the prevention of postoperative swelling and trismus when used as a coolant and irrigation solution during the impacted mandibular third molar surgery.
Materials and Method

The randomised, prospective, double-blind and controlled study was conducted from October 2016 to January 2018 at the Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, Faculty of Dentistry, Biruni University, Istanbul, Turkey. It comprised individuals who underwent surgical removal of pathology-free completely unerupted mandibular lower third molars. After approval from the ethics committee of Acibadem University, Istanbul, Turkey, the sample size calculation was done on the basis of $\alpha=5\%$ and power of $=80\%$ using the following formula:

$$n = \frac{(Z_{\alpha/2} + Z_{\beta})^2 \cdot \sigma^2}{d^2}$$

Those included were aged 18-30 years without systemic diseases, completely unerupted mandibular lower third molars described as class C/1-3 according to the Pell-Gregory classification. Those excluded were because of pregnancy/lactation, medication usage that could adversely affect the healing process, presence of any condition such as inflammation, periodontitis, dental abscess in the area of the impacted teeth, smoking, undergoing anti-inflammatory or antibiotic drugs therapies <1 week before surgery, history of hypersensitivity to iodine, and any thyroid diseases.

After taking informed consent, the sample was randomised into four equal groups using sequentially-numbered opaque sealed envelopes. Group I was taken as control and was subjected only to saline. Further, 10% PVP-I solution was diluted with saline to obtain an irrigation solution at different concentrations for surgical procedures. Group II was given 0.5% concentration of PVP-I (1ml PVP-I /200ml saline); Group III had 1% concentration of PVP-I (2ml PVP-I /200ml saline); and Group IV was given 3% concentration of PVP-I (6ml PVP-I /200ml saline).
In order to standardise the surgical procedure, each patient underwent the same surgical technique by the same surgeon. Local anaesthesia of the inferior alveolar, lingual and buccal nerves was carried out by using 2% lidocaine with 1:80,000 epinephrine. A full-thickness buccal mucoperiosteal flap was reflected and alveolar bone was removed buccally on the distal aspect of the impacted tooth using a round bur under PVP-I solution or saline irrigation. After single tooth extraction in each patient, irregular bony margins were smoothed and the alveolar socket was irrigated with 10ml PVP-I solution in Groups II, III and IV, and with equal amounts of saline in the control group. The flap was hermetically sutured with 3-0 silk in all groups. Only analgesic ibuprofen 200mg (1 tablet every 12 hours) and mouth rinse (0.2% chlorhexidine, twice daily) were prescribed for 7 days. No antibiotics or steroids were administered to any of the patient. The patients were followed up post-operatively on days 2 and 7.

Pre-operatively and post-operatively on days 2 and 7 following the surgical procedure, facial measurements were recorded in the closed mouth position. All assessments were done by an attending surgeon blinded to the study in order to reduce bias.

The relationship of mandibular third molar to the ramus of the mandible according to the Pell-Gregory classification was recorded as a clinical variable after the operation. The maximum mouth opening and swelling were evaluated using a digital caliper. The degree of trismus was assessed by measuring the distance between lower and upper incisal borders of the central incisors. For the assessment of swelling, three facial lines were measured on the operated side using several landmarks, such as the external canthus of the eye, the gonion angle, the lower border of the tragus, soft pogonion, and the mouth commissure (Figure 1).

Statistical analysis was done using SPSS 22. The 4 groups were compared in terms of baseline descriptive data, including mean age, gender distribution and
relation of tooth to the ramus of the mandible by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA), chi-square and Fisher Freeman Halton test respectively to avoid the interference of confounding variables. Shapiro Wilks test was used to verify the distribution for normality. Inter-group comparisons were analysed with one-way ANOVA and the Tukey honestly significant difference (HSD) test was used to identify which group samples differed significantly from the other groups. For repeated measurements, analysis of variance and Bonferroni’s test were performed. P<0.05 was considered significant.

Results

Of the 80 patients, 34(42.5%) were males and 46(57.5%) were females with an overall mean age of 24.6±3.68 years. Each group had 20(25%) subjects No significant differences in mean age, gender distribution and relation of tooth to the ramus of the mandible were identified among the groups (Table 1).

There was no significant difference between mean pre-operative interincisal mouth opening measurements among the groups(p>0.05). Trismus was assessed with regard to reduction in the maximum interincisal distance of each patient between the pre-operative period and on post-operative days 2 and 7. There was a significant difference between Group I and the other three groups on postoperative days 2 and 7 (p<0.05). Mouth opening measurements in groups irrigated with PVP-I was greater than the control group (p<0.05). In groups irrigated with PVP-I, the limitation of the mouth opening on average on postoperative days 2 and 7 was less in Group III and Group IV compared to Group II. Although there was no significant difference between Group III and Group IV, the differences between study groups and the control group were statistically significant (Table 2).

Swelling was expressed as the increase in the tragus–commissure, canthus–gnathion and tragus–pogonion lines on postoperative days 2 and 7 compared to the baseline measurements. In all groups, mean facial swelling was significantly
increased on postoperative day 2, and a gradual decreasing in swelling was observed from day 2 to day 7. The length of the tragus–commissure line on postoperative days 2 and 7 in Group IV was significantly less than the other groups (p<0.05). Compared to the intervention groups, the increment in measurements of the tragus–pogonion line on postoperative days 2 and 7 were greater in the control group at each time point (p<0.05). The intervention groups showed considerably better reduction in the increase. Differences between the pre-operative and post-operative values on days 2 and 7 were greater in groups I, II and III, while on the 7th post-operative day, the average score was significantly similar to the pre-operative values in Group IV (p<0.05). Irrigation in Group IV was more effective in reducing the level of facial swelling on postoperative days 2 and 7 after impacted third molar surgery (Table 3).

Discussion

Surgical procedures are routinely followed by an inflammatory process, which is the first line of protective response by a tissue to injury\textsuperscript{3,13}. It is a well-known fact that the intensity of associated pain reaches nearly its maximum for a duration of about 3-5 hours and it may last as long as 2-3 days; and relief may be achieved within 7 days after surgery\textsuperscript{14,15}. Depending on the amount of hard- and/or soft-tissue trauma based on the anatomical position of the third molar, the incidence of swelling peaks 48-72 hours after surgery, and post-operative inflammation can potentially persist with a decreasing trend 5-7 days after surgery\textsuperscript{14}. Inflammatory complications are the main cause of increased post-operative patient discomfort and delayed healing process in third molar surgery. Many studies aimed at controlling acute inflammation and/or minimising the infection risk have relied on the efficacy of drugs, such as antibiotics, corticosteroids, non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs), at various stages of surgery or processing dealing with irrigation and removal of debris and organic matter using various disinfectant solutions\textsuperscript{13,16}. 
PVP-I is a broad-spectrum and fast-acting antiseptic solution that has been shown to exhibit a wide range of bactericidal, as well as virucidal, fungicidal and protozicidal activities by rapidly releasing the free iodine that penetrates into, respectively, micro-organisms, cytoplasmic membrane and contents\textsuperscript{5,8,17}. In addition to its antiseptic property, Asimakopoulos et al. reported its anti-oedematous effect as a phenomenon investigated incidentally during the surgical removal of the lower third molar under irrigation with dilute povidone-iodine solution to investigate primarily haemostatic property\textsuperscript{18}. The beneficial impact of dilutions from PVP-I stock solutions on preventing post-operative complications is expected, but actual clinical efficacy is unknown\textsuperscript{19}. In vitro and in vivo studies have shown that PVP-I could limit the function of the inflammasomes in such a way as to alter prostaglandin synthesis by decreasing leukocyte chemotaxis and its extravasation. It was also shown that the presence of iodine leads to the destruction of tissue-damaging factors and cytokines, thereby interfering with the inflammatory process and favouring wound-healing\textsuperscript{4,20,21}.

PVP-I solution was selected for this study because it has excellent microbial inhibition and acts as an anti-oedematous agent, has minimal allergic and toxic potential, and enhances osteogenic proliferation and differentiation in an osteoblast-like cell line through the early post-operative period\textsuperscript{22,23}.

Ample research on PVP-I has provided promising evidence regarding its potent chemical properties and biological activities for new applications in many medical fields, including wound-healing, ophthalmological treatment, inhalation therapy for respiratory tract diseases, intra-articular infections, prophylaxis following joint surgery, and allograft transplantation\textsuperscript{21}.

A 2011 study determined a significant decrease in the appearance of post-operative swelling when applied PVP-I coolant at a concentration of 0.5mg/mL in impacted third molar surgery\textsuperscript{24}. It reported that low concentrations of PVP-I showed an anti-oedematous effect via impeding chemotactic responses of
neutrophils activated by leukotriene B4 production. The current study also attempted to investigate the use of povidone-iodine solution using the technique described in literature\textsuperscript{24}. The PVP-I used here is a commercially available product, which simplifies its use in future clinical applications, making this procedure easy, inexpensive and convenient for both the surgeon and the patient, due to its potential to reduce the overuse of antimicrobial prophylaxis and anti-inflammatory agents after impacted third molar surgery.

Many different methods, including computed tomography (CT) scans, three-dimensional (3D) laser-scanning devices, photographs etc., may be used to assess facial swelling following the dentoalveolar surgery. A study took measurements by marking 4 fixed points (oral commissure, tragus, external canthus, gonion and pogonion,) and 3 surgical baselines to evaluate oedema for the extension of swelling\textsuperscript{2}. To compare oedema, the present study used the anatomical markers described by the earlier study in the closed mouth position for reasons of validity, ease, low cost, and repeatability of the method\textsuperscript{2}.

One study assessed the anti-inflammatory effect of liposomal hydrogel with 3% PVP-I using several established in vitro tests, and found that PVP-I had a beneficial effect on inflammation supported by iodine’s free radical scavenging that inhibited mast cell activity and polymorphonuclear neutrophil production of reactive oxygen species\textsuperscript{4}.

A study in 2015 compared 0.5mg/mL concentrated solution of PVP-I and saline as a coolant and irrigant during surgical extractions of impacted third molars, and found that PVP-I solution had considerably better efficacy than saline in controlling swelling and trismus, but that there was no significant difference in pain control between the two irrigants\textsuperscript{5}. Pain was not evaluated in the present study as an objective result cannot be obtained when taking into account its subjective nature.

It was reported in many studies that oral-rinsing with PVP-I-hydrogen-peroxide-containing compounds provide an important decrease in bleeding and
inflammation in gingivitis patients\textsuperscript{25}. In 2009, a study performed sub-gingival irrigation of the periodontal pockets with 10\% povidone-iodine solution to gauge the efficacy of basic mechanical periodontal therapy and iodophor solutions as an adjunctive treatment on patients with severe chronic periodontitis, and found improved reduction of gingival inflammation in the regions treated with 10\% PVP-I solution irrigation\textsuperscript{26}.

In vivo research using a concentration of 0.5-10\% PVP-I solution for antiseptic and anti-inflammatory purposes, including the irrigation of surgical wounds and preparation for surgical interventions, described these dilutions as combining rapid onset, fast acting, high potency, safety and time-saving without serious side effects\textsuperscript{5,16,21,25}. PVP-I solution of 1\% concentration was defined as the most viable dilution for wound irrigation by a study\textsuperscript{24}; however, the ideal concentration of PVP-I for maximal efficacy was not clarified\textsuperscript{8,17}. The antiseptic and anti-inflammatory properties, as well as such potential negative effects of PVP-I as cellular toxicity, depend substantially on the concentration of the solution, which is consequently associated with the concentration of "free" iodine\textsuperscript{19,22}. It also remains unclear as to whether increasing the amount of "free" iodine by increasing the amount of solvent to concentrated solution will increase the incidence of irritation\textsuperscript{17,19}.

Some studies assessed the toxic effects of dilute concentrations of PVP-I on the survival of pre-osteoblast cells and on cellular differentiation during sterilisation, and on the preservation of allografts, which have demonstrated that alkaline phosphatase activity and osteogenic gene markers were enhanced by appropriate concentrations. It was found that this benefit is inversely proportional to even higher concentrations of PVP-I that include cytotoxicity for epithelial cells, fibroblasts and polymorphonuclear lymphocytes\textsuperscript{17,22}.

Our findings support the notion that PVP-I solution is effective in the management of oedema and trismus when used as a coolant for the bone drilling in the mandibular lower third molar surgery, while at the same time, variation in
the likelihood of inflammatory complications were significantly associated with
different concentrations of solution.
The main limitations of the current study was its small sample size which was
because of financial and logistical reasons. Further clinical trials with large
sample sizes are recommended in order to obtain more definite outcomes and
further minimise the undesired post-operative effects on patients.

**Conclusion**

Irrigation by 3% PVP-I solution had superior efficacy in reducing post-
operative trismus, oedema and patient discomfort, and also provided a better
opportunity to overcome clinical challenges.
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Table 1: Comparison of baseline descriptive data in 4 groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Group 1</th>
<th>Group 2</th>
<th>Group 3</th>
<th>Group 4</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age Mean±SD</td>
<td>24,55±3,33</td>
<td>24,75±3,45</td>
<td>24,60±3,92</td>
<td>24,5±4,01</td>
<td>1,0997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>10 (%50)</td>
<td>7 (%35)</td>
<td>9 (%45)</td>
<td>8 (%40)</td>
<td>2,872</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>10 (%50)</td>
<td>13 (%65)</td>
<td>11 (%55)</td>
<td>12 (%60)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relation to Ramus n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C1</td>
<td>6 (%30)</td>
<td>9 (%45)</td>
<td>7 (%35)</td>
<td>8 (%40)</td>
<td>3,952</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2: Mouth opening was evaluated between groups and within each group preoperatively and on postoperative days 2 and 7. Values are expressed as the mean.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Group 1</th>
<th>Group 2</th>
<th>Group 3</th>
<th>Group 4</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Avg±SD</td>
<td>Avg±SD</td>
<td>Avg±SD</td>
<td>Avg±SD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preop</td>
<td>4,38±0,33</td>
<td>4,44±0,42</td>
<td>4,22±0,44</td>
<td>3,97±0,49</td>
<td>0,004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postop. day 2</td>
<td>2,52±0,37</td>
<td>3,14±0,48</td>
<td>3,5±0,46</td>
<td>3,3±0,44</td>
<td>0,001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postop. day 7</td>
<td>3,18±0,39</td>
<td>3,86±0,49</td>
<td>4,02±0,42</td>
<td>3,84±0,5</td>
<td>0,001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preop-Postop. day 2</td>
<td>0,001</td>
<td>0,001</td>
<td>0,001</td>
<td>0,001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preop-Postop. day 7</td>
<td>0,001</td>
<td>0,001</td>
<td>0,001</td>
<td>0,001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postop. day 2- day 7</td>
<td>0,001</td>
<td>0,001</td>
<td>0,001</td>
<td>0,001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1One Way ANOVA test; 2 Repeated measures analysis of variance; 3 Bonferroni Test (p<0,05). SD: Standard deviation

Table 3: Facial swelling was evaluated between groups and within each group preoperatively and on postoperative days 2 and 7. Values are expressed as the mean.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Group 1</th>
<th>Group 2</th>
<th>Group 3</th>
<th>Group 4</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Avg±SD</td>
<td>Avg±SD</td>
<td>Avg±SD</td>
<td>Avg±SD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tragus-comissure line (cm)</td>
<td>11,51±0,73</td>
<td>11,52±0,7</td>
<td>11,67±0,4</td>
<td>11,13±0,4</td>
<td>0,03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preop</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postop. day 2</td>
<td>12,85±0,51</td>
<td>12,49±0,68</td>
<td>12,28±0,4</td>
<td>11,47±0,4</td>
<td>0,00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postop. day 7</td>
<td>12,22±0,63</td>
<td>12,02±0,71</td>
<td>11,79±0,4</td>
<td>11,16±0,4</td>
<td>0,00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preop-Postop. day 2</td>
<td>0,001</td>
<td>0,001</td>
<td>0,001</td>
<td>0,001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preop-Postop. day 7</td>
<td>0,001</td>
<td>0,001</td>
<td>0,001</td>
<td>0,001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postop. day 2- day 7</td>
<td>0,001</td>
<td>0,001</td>
<td>0,001</td>
<td>0,001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tragus-pogonio</td>
<td>14,12±1,26</td>
<td>14,23±1,01</td>
<td>14,6±1,01</td>
<td>14,18±0,9</td>
<td>0,46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preop</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postop. day 2</td>
<td>15,82±0,93</td>
<td>15,26±1,02</td>
<td>15,1±1,03</td>
<td>14,36±0,8</td>
<td>0,00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postop. day 7</td>
<td>15,3±1</td>
<td>14,72±1,07</td>
<td>14,73±1</td>
<td>14,19±0,9</td>
<td>0,00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canthus-gnathion (cm)</td>
<td>Preop</td>
<td>Preop-Postop. day 2</td>
<td>Preop-Postop. day 7</td>
<td>Postop. day 2- day 7</td>
<td>²p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Line</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preop</td>
<td>9,2±0,71</td>
<td>9,99±0,66</td>
<td>11,01±0,9</td>
<td>9,7±1,19</td>
<td>0,00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postop. day 2</td>
<td>9,79±0,7</td>
<td>10,4±0,68</td>
<td>11,32±1,0</td>
<td>9,82±1,21</td>
<td>0,00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postop. day 7</td>
<td>9,51±0,69</td>
<td>10,14±0,67</td>
<td>11,1±0,98</td>
<td>9,7±1,19</td>
<td>0,00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

²p 0,001 0,001 0,001 0,001

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Canthus-gnathion (cm)</th>
<th>Preop-Postop. day 2</th>
<th>Preop-Postop. day 7</th>
<th>Postop. day 2- day 7</th>
<th>²p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Preop</td>
<td>0,001</td>
<td>0,001</td>
<td>0,001</td>
<td>0,001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postop. day 2</td>
<td>0,001</td>
<td>0,001</td>
<td>0,018</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postop. day 7</td>
<td>0,001</td>
<td>0,001</td>
<td>0,001</td>
<td>0,001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

³ One Way ANOVA test; ² Repeated measures analysis of variance; Bonferroni Test (p<0,05).

SD: Standard deviation.